

KAYSVILLE CITY COUNCIL
May 7, 2020

Minutes of a regular Kaysville City Council meeting held on May 7, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. The meeting was held electronically due to Governor Herbert’s “Stay Safe, Stay Home” directive, and in line with the City’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Council Members and City Staff participated live from remote locations, and the meeting was streamed live online.

Council Members present: Mayor Katie Witt, Council Member John Swan Adams, Council Member Michelle Barber, Council Member Andre Lortz, Council Member Tamara Tran, and Council Member Mike Blackham

Others Present: City Manager Shayne Scott, City Attorney Nic Mills, Finance Director Dean Storey, City Recorder Annemarie Plaizier, Community Development Director Lyle Gibson, Public Works Superintendent Josh Belnap, Information Systems Manager Ryan Judd, Eric Orton, John Warnick, Robert Parker

OPENING

Council Andre Lortz opened the meeting.

RECOGNITIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

DEPARTMENT PRESENTATION, PUBLIC WORKS

Public Works Director, Josh Belnap presented a report of the Kaysville Public Works Department for the 2019 year and explained that their department takes care of the streets, storm water, and water within the city. Much of their funding comes from Class C funds, active transportation option, road fee, storm water utility fund, water enterprise utility fund, and the general fund. In 2019 they had several road projects, including several miles of repaving and restriping roads, creating new roads, preventative road treatments, and installing sidewalk. They have also been working to update their street standards and ordinances. Their storm water staff were able to work with the Nature Conservancy on a water quality project in 2019, and they’ve also driven their street sweeper over 500 miles over the year to try to keep our streets clean. They have been working on updating their storm water standards and ordinances, as well as implementing a low impact development storm water plan. Their staff has been managing Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans for all applicable construction sites. In our Water department we have had over 2,400 linear feet of water main replaced, and have been continuing to work on the design and coordination of water quality projects within the city. In 2019 they responded to over 50 leak repairs. They have also been working with UDOT in coordinating the West Davis Corridor and Highway 89 projects. A new water tank has been designed and will be under construction soon.

The City Council expressed their appreciation for the Public Works Department.

Council Member Barber asked about the automatic metering system update.

Josh Belnap responded that they have installed almost all of the meters and it's given them a much better efficiency and more accurate billing statements. There are a few meters left to install which are located in more difficult locations.

DECLARATION OF ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No conflicts were disclosed.

CONSENT ITEMS

Council Member Barber made a motion to accept the following consent items:

- a. Approval of the Minutes of April 10, 2020.
- b. A Resolution Authorizing the Sale of the City's Heavy Rescue Fire Vehicle – Kaysville Fire Department.
- c. A Resolution Accepting a Trail Access Easement from The Homestead at Hale Farms Homeowners Association.

The motion was seconded by Council Member Lortz.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

AWARD OF BID FOR SUNSET DRIVE PHASE 2 CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALK PROJECT – PUBLIC WORKS

Josh Belnap explained that Public Works has planned and coordinated the final phase of repaving and installation of curb and sidewalk for Sunset Drive. Six bids were received for this project and the low bid was from Post Asphalt and Construction at \$1,223,756.25.

Council Member Barber asked about pedestrian access, especially with kids going to the nearby schools.

Josh Belnap responded that this final phase of the project will run from Western Drive to the sewer district property to the south. They will not be finishing the area where the connector road is planned. The contractor is hoping to get started on this project at the end of this month, or the first part of June. They have a completion date planned for October 1st. The city has specified that we want the paving completed by the start of the school year. Right now it isn't warranted to install a traffic signal at the intersection of Western Drive and Sunset Drive. A traffic signal or roundabout installed here would also require some property acquisition from adjacent properties. Also, because of the island already located in Western Drive, the roundabout wouldn't be centered in the middle of the intersection and could complicate road movements. They have spoken with neighbors in the area and discussed installing high-visibility crosswalks, which can be installed at

a fairly minimal expense. The city will also continue to monitor the traffic at this intersection.

Council Member Adams suggested that road signs be installed to better direct traffic at that intersection.

Josh Belnap responded that they might be able to install road signs, but depending on the sign it might be hard to legally enforce them. Staff feels that when the connector road project is complete we will likely see a decrease in traffic on some of these streets and it will cause a more even distribution of traffic.

Council Member Barber said that she would like to see a traffic study which shows what would be the best solution for this intersection. A traffic study was promised to be given to the neighborhood for this area years ago. We shouldn't install a crosswalk just because it's the easiest solution, but rather we need to install what is best for the kids in the area to safety get to school. However, we also shouldn't hold up awarding this bid and risk postponing road construction for when school is in session. The traffic study should still be reviewed by the City Council. Council Member Barber asked if a crosswalk was installed if it would be manned by a crossing guard to help students cross.

Josh Belnap responded that this intersection didn't meet the criteria for a controlled speed crossing zone with a crossing guard. Crosswalks are easy to install and give pedestrians a designated place of where to cross, but it also can give them a false sense of security.

Mayor Witt said that a couple of years ago residents asked the City Council to consider having a crosswalk with a crossing guard at the intersection of Thornfield Road and Crestwood Road. That intersection also did not meet the criteria to justify one and it was therefore denied. The City needs to be uniform in our decisions.

Council Member Tran suggested that traffic studies be posted on the website for residents to be able to view.

Council Member Barber made a motion to approve the award of bid for Sunset Drive Phase 2 Curb, Gutter and Sidewalk Project, with the request to have the traffic and pedestrian analysis for this area presented to the Council at a later date. The motion was seconded by Council Member Blackham.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

- Council Member Barber, yea
- Council Member Lortz, yea
- Council Member Tran, yea
- Council Member Adams, yea
- Council Member Blackham, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS

MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT BETWEEN KAYSVILLE CITY AND UTAH

WATER/WASTEWATER AGENCY RESPONSE NETWORK

Josh Belnap explained that Staff is recommending that the city enter into a mutual aid agreement with the Utah Water/Wastewater Agency Response Network (UT-WARN) to help ensure and safeguard the city's ability to respond to system needs in an emergency event. UT-WARN operates with support from the Rural Water Association of Utah (RWAU) and exists to provide support by way of licensed water operators and other personnel and equipment to its members when in need, but also to help streamline communication with experience RWAU staff, the State Department of Environmental Quality and the Division of Drinking Water. Kaysville City Water is comprised of five staff members, all licensed, who oversee the delivery of nearly one billion gallons of water to nearly 32,000 people each year. This is all done via a network of nearly 150 miles of pipeline that feed from six storage tanks, connecting with nearly 8,700 water meters at homes and businesses within and around the city. In case of a disaster, the Water Department needs to keep this system in service, or restore it as quickly as possible, in order to continue to provide drinking water, fire suppression and necessary support to local businesses. This mutual aid agreement provides access to additional personnel and equipment to help meet that need. The agreement provides the City with the sole discretion to determine when our personnel and equipment can or cannot be spared to help other members. Costs incurred in support of other members is to be reimbursed as detailed within the agreement.

Council Member Tran made a motion to approve the Mutual Aid Agreement between Kaysville City and Utah Water/Wastewater Agency Response Network, seconded by Council Member Adams.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Barber, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

Dean Storey explained that the tentative budgets for Fiscal Year 2021 have been reviewed and discussed by the City Council at their Council retreat. This item presented tonight is the first step in approving the budget and will also make it available for the public to review. After the tentative budget is approved, the Public Hearings will be scheduled and posted.

Council Member Blackham said that he has been very adamant in adding a cost of living increase for our employees in the budget. We ask so much of our employees and their wages are already below the market. Our employees work extra hard and they rely on this. A cost of living will just help our employees enough so they won't be falling behind. Increases to our health insurance just adds to their burden. We want to show them that we appreciate them because these types of things have a big effect on morale. This isn't including any kind of raise, but just a small increase to cover the cost of living.

Mayor Witt said that she understands and appreciates the passion and care for our employees. They work hard and deserve the cost of living increase. Mayor Witt added that she feels that we need to think about the other families within Kaysville who are currently losing or have lost their jobs as a result of the pandemic. It would be insensitive of us to give our staff a raise at this time. If we chose to give our employees an increase we need to find the money to accommodate it. Our budget will likely be decreasing as a result of the pandemic.

Council Member Blackham said that it is important that we adopt this as part of the tentative budget because of the uncertainty right now.

Shayne Scott said that we really don't know what will happen from one day to the next because of COVID. Our budget will definitely be impacted by it. We will have to use our savings just to adopt the proposed FY 2021 budget. We hope to have more information about how the pandemic has impacted us financially by August, with an even better understanding by the end of the calendar year.

Dean Storey commented that the numbers being proposed for the next fiscal year budget is almost exactly what was proposed for the current year because we don't know where we will be at after this pandemic is over.

Council Member Tran said that she appreciates what Staff does and for Council Member Blackham being an advocate for the employees. However, once we get more information, at the end of the calendar year we might be having to make budget cuts and hard decisions might have to be made about our employees. It doesn't seem wise to commit to giving the employees a cost of living increase now when we don't know where we sit financially. There might be other ways that we can show our appreciation to our employees when we don't have money to give.

Council Member Adams said that its public knowledge what our city employees make and it's hard to see how some of our employees make a living. If we aren't willing to spend the money on our employees, we should consider putting a freeze on spending money elsewhere until we know where we are at financially. Council Member Adams added that he doesn't feel that citizens would be upset by the Council giving the employees a small cost of living increase, but would rather would be glad to see that the city is taking care of their employees.

Shayne Scott added that because that money hasn't been budgeted for it would also be coming out of the city's savings to cover the cost.

Council Member Blackham said that the city is in a good financial position and has purposely put money aside for use in a time or period of unforeseen difficulty or trouble. That is where we are at today and seems appropriate to use some of that money to take care of our employees.

Council Member Lortz asked about when sales tax numbers are reported back to the city.

Dean Storey responded that there is a two month lag so it will likely be a few months before we see any trend in our numbers.

Council Member Adams said that he would support a cost of living increase for the employees.

The reserved money was saved for such a time as this.

Council Member Blackham made a motion to adopt the tentative budgets for Fiscal Year 2021 with a two-percent cost of living increase for employees, seconded by Council Member Adams.

Council Member Adams asked if approving the cost of living increase now would affect doing a merit-based raise in the future.

Dean Storey responded that any market adjustments would increase the base salary level, and shouldn't affect any merit-based increase in the future.

Council Member Lortz asked if the department directors should be considered exempt from a cost of living increase. Or maybe we should do a cost of living increase in a stage process where one-percent would be given at the beginning of the fiscal year, with the possibility of more of an increase later in the year when we see what funds are available.

Council Member Barber asked about why department heads should be exempt.

Council Member Lortz responded that in the private industry, those at the top take the first hit so that they can take care of those with the lowest wages since they are the ones most impacted.

Council Member Lortz made a motion to adopt the tentative budgets for Fiscal Year 2021 with a one-percent increase to all employees except the department directors, seconded by Council Member Barber.

Mayor Witt commented that things could look better or worse financially in a couple of months. The final budget does not need to be passed until August so we will have time before then to see where we are at.

The vote on Council Member Lortz's motion was as follows:

Council Member Tran, nay
Council Member Blackham, nay
Council Member Adams, nay
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea

The motion failed with a vote of two to three.

The vote on Council Member Blackham's motion was as follows:

Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Barber, nay
Council Member Lortz, nay
Council Member Tran, nay

The motion failed with a vote of two to three.

Council Member Barber commented that there are adjustments within the budget that will make a difference within the FY 2021 budget, such as adjustments for cancelled park activities this year. Things like this will save money for the city. The Council can commit to come back and revisit this when we have more certain numbers in front of us and things aren't in flux anymore.

Council Member Adams commented that he is grateful that the Council will be able to take another look at this before final approval, as he is hesitant to approve the city spending money in other areas until we spend money on our employees first.

Council Member Lortz made a motion to adopt the tentative budgets for Fiscal Year 2021 with a one-percent cost of living increase for all city employees, seconded by Council Member Blackham.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Tran, nay
Council Member Blackham, yea

The motion passed with a vote of four to one.

Council Member Tran commented that she feels that the Council needs to be more responsible and wait until we have more solid numbers before making a decision.

Council Member Barber said that she hopes that this discussion will send a message to our city staff that they are valued and a high priority for the Council.

REZONE OF 0.39 ACRES OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 890-914 EAST 200 NORTH FROM R-2 (ONE TO TWO-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT) TO THE R-M (RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY) ZONING DISTRICT, AND CONSIDERATION OF AN IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT – ERIC ORTON

Lyle Gibson explained that Eric Orton is requesting a rezone of his property at 890-914 East 200 North in order to accommodate a multi-family dwelling. The property is currently zoned R-2 for the existing duplex dwellings on each lot. Mr. Orton would like to be able to combine the two existing 0.18 and 0.21 acre lots into one. 0.39 acre lot, and do an addition in order to create one six-unit dwelling. The requested R-M district is primarily for Multi-Family homes, or single family dwellings are permitted. Mr. Orton has provided a site plan which indicates how they anticipate the site to be used. The concept shows how parking could be provided for in the rear of the property, in response to the request from the Planning Commission, to ensure that parking would not conflict with future right-of-way improvements. An Improvement Agreement has been provided that has been reviewed by Mr. Orton and would have him play for their share of future 200 North street improvements now, rather than have them installed since they would not be connecting into anything currently.

Eric Orton said that he feels that this would be an improvement for the property, but would also

help to add higher density moderate income housing within the city along an arterial road. It is a good location and is close to Highway 89.

Council Member Blackham commented that he doesn't want to see a gap left between the driveway and 200 North while we wait until 200 North is improved. If there is no surface installed the cars could potentially track mud onto the road, which is what we are trying to prevent with our Storm Water Plan.

Lyle Gibson responded that some type of rocks or temporary road base could be required of the applicant. It shouldn't have any effect on the future widening and improvement of 200 North.

Council Member Adams made a motion to approve the request to rezone 0.39 acres of property located at 890-914 East 200 North from R-2 (One to Two-Family Residential District) to the R-M (Residential Multi-Family) zoning district and consideration of an Improvement Agreement for Eric Orton, with the condition that Staff work with the applicant to create a road connection from the driveway to the existing asphalt of 200 North Street so as to eliminate traffic tracking mud or debris onto the roadway. Council Member Lortz seconded the motion.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Adams, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

REZONE OF 1.17 ACRES OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 650 NORTH MAIN STREET FROM GC (GENERAL COMMERCIAL) TO THE R-M (RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY) ZONING DISTRICT – DESTINATION HOMES

Lyle Gibson explained that Destination Homes is requesting a rezone of the property located at 650 North Main Street to accommodate future residential development. The existing zoning district, GC, permits office and retail sales and services, but does not allow for residential uses, with the exception of single family units needed to support a business function. The property is abutting commercial property along Main Street to the north and south, and residential development to the east. There are some R-M zoned properties in the vicinity across the street and near the corner of Mutton Hollow and Main Street. The R-M zoning district allows for multiple dwelling structures by permitted use and the zone may have a density of 15.5 units per acre. Destination Homes has provided a detailed concept of what they envision for the corner property. The concept includes 16 townhome units. The homes vary from 2-3 stories in height, positioned around the perimeter of the property, with a central parking area. A memorandum has been provided by the applicant at the request of the Planning Commission to demonstrate the impact of traffic on the adjacent roads. The memorandum speaks specifically to traffic counts. For additional consideration, Staff has researched the city's ordinances and applicable engineering standards. Ideally a full traffic study of the intersection would be needed to see what the queue length would be on Mutton Hollow and then limit the driveway from that distance. If the type of

development and residential rezone is desirable, the Council may request this additional information before granting the rezone or as a requirement of any building or subdivision process. Specific to access, the concept does meet the minimum requirements of the city's ordinance and seems to work with example engineering standards without all the site specific studies. In consideration of the rezone, the Council should also consider how the use fits with the city's General Plan and this specific location. The rezone request would allow for anything permitted by the R-M zoning district. Should the Council wish to set more specific restrictions to ensure certain development, such as the proposed concept, a development agreement may be considered. Ultimately for the proposed project, it would be necessary to have the PRUD overlay zone approved together with the requested zoning district. After reviewing this proposed rezone, the Planning Commission voted 5 to 2 to recommend approval of the requested rezone with the caveat that additional data be provided which addresses the impact of the development to adjacent roads. The Planning Commission members who voted against the motion cited the need to better distribute density throughout the city, and a desire to keep commercial properties as a means of providing amenities to the city and a stronger tax base.

John Warnick, with Destination Homes, said that it is their mission to build exceptional homes in lasting communities which will help to improve the lives of those who live there. They feel that this development meets the General Plan as the plan states that higher density housing should be allowed for along major streets. This will provide a place for moderate income housing, which is something there is a big demand for in the market right now. People want their children to be able to purchase a home in Kaysville, and this development will give their children that opportunity. The city will receive about \$56,000 in impact fees from this development. Destination Homes will commit to build great housing options here.

Council Member Lortz asked about parking.

John Warnick responded that each unit will have a two-car garage. There is not a lot of space to allow for guest parking, but they have planned for about six stalls.

Council Member Tran asked about the pricing of the units.

John Warnick responded that they plan to sell each of these units for about \$250-275k.

Council Member Tran made a motion to deny the request to rezone 1.17 acres of property at 650 North Main Street from GC (General Commercial) to the R-M (Residential Multi-Family) zoning district for Destination Homes, seconded by Council Member Blackham.

Council Member Tran said that Destination Homes build great homes, and the architecture and the products they provide are a quality product. However, she feels like this project is not the best use of the property and would like to see that the property remain General Commercial. For several years the city and the Council have supported the idea that Main Street remain commercial, and many residents support that. We want to preserve Main Street as it's the main commuter of the city.

Council Member Blackham commented that he appreciates what previous City Councils have done in trying to maintain and keep this as a commercial area. There are a lot of commercial uses across the street, and there likely will be a traffic signal installed at the intersection of Mutton Hollow

and Main Street. If this property doesn't develop as a commercial use right away, it will eventually. This intersection has a lot of potential and if we rezone it from General Commercial we won't get that back.

Council Member Adams that he used to live in this area and it was already very crowded with multi-family houses then. There needs to be a better distribution of higher density housing throughout the city.

Council Member Lortz said that the General Plan seems to contradict itself. We want to keep the neighborhoods the same use, but also disperse higher density housing. People say that they want multi-family housing, until it's being requested to be built next to them. Not all commercially zoned properties are commercially viable. This is not the best site for a commercial use. Traffic patterns have changed since the Layton Parkway was built. A higher traffic area is more viable for a commercial business. If a property is commercially viable, it will be rezoned to a commercial use, if it's not already zoned as such. The more we deny multifamily requests, the harder it will be to get any multifamily in the city. There needs to somehow be a balance to allow for multifamily.

Council Member Barber said that there is a need for more affordable and diverse housing. This Council and previous Councils have shown that we are embracing that. We see the need for it in the forefront and have been looking at all options. We have approved other types of housing developments which would have never been considered years ago. A lot of street improvements will be done along Mutton Hollow and a light will eventually be installed at Main Street. This might help to make this property more desirable for a commercial use. We want to see something developed here but it isn't the right time for this type of development. Destination Homes is a great community partner, and there's a demand for townhomes. But there are other parts of the city we are open to allowing them to be built.

Mayor Witt commented that we need to take the demand for multi-family housing seriously in the city.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Lortz, nay
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Barber, yea

The motion passed with a vote of four to one.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 17-33, SIGN REGULATIONS, OF TITLE 17, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE REVISED ORDINANCES OF KAYSVILLE CITY

Lyle Gibson explained that recently the Planning Commission reviewed several conditional use permits for development signs, after which they gave Staff direction to work on an ordinance which would allow for these types of proposals to be reviewed and administered by city Staff rather than going before the Planning Commission. These signs will still need to be removed within 30 days

from when all of the building lots within that development have been sold.

Council Member Lortz made a motion to approve an Ordinance amending Chapter 17-33, Sign Regulations, of Title 17, Planning and Zoning, of the Revised Ordinances of Kaysville City, seconded by Council Member Barber.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 17-34-7, COMMON OPEN SPACE SUBDIVISION, OF CHAPTER 17-34, PRUD PLANNED RESIDENTIAL UNIT DEVELOPMENT, OF TITLE 17, PLANNING AND ZONING, OF THE REVISED ORDINANCES OF KAYSVILLE CITY

Lyle Gibson explained that this proposed ordinance amendment adds the R-1-6 zoning district to a table in the PRUD common open space section of the city ordinances. City Staff identified the zoning district, which was recently created, was not included within this table and felt it appropriate to suggest adding it as an additional option for types of development to be considered. This will not change any rules or regulations for existing developments.

Council Member Adams asked how this was discovered.

Lyle Gibson responded that Staff was reviewing a potential development for consideration and noticed that the R-1-6 zoning was not part of this table within the PRUD ordinance. This amendment cleans up the language of the ordinance.

Council Member Barber made a motion to approve an Ordinance amending Section 17-34-7, Common Open Space Subdivision of Chapter 17-34, PRUD Planned Residential Unit Development, of Title 17, Planning and Zoning, of the Revised Ordinances of Kaysville City. The motion was seconded by Council Member Adams.

Council Member Blackham commented that the R-1-6 zone is already allowing for small lots, and once you allow for a PRUD overlay those lots could potentially be reduced even more.

Council Member Barber asked if the PRUD overlay zone requires that it be a private street development.

Lyle Gibson responded that it does not. It would still be considered a rezone request and therefore the Council would have to decide if the request is appropriate for that particular location.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Tran, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Nothing was brought under this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS

Council Member Barber said that they recently had to report that the City will be changing our 4th of July events this year since mass gatherings are not allowed or being permitted by the Davis County Health Department.

Council Member Tran asked if the city had prepaid for the 4th of July expenses.

Council Member Barber responded that it had been paid for from the previous fiscal year's budget but those funds will be rolled over to 2021. Because of that, it will help the city to save some money and put that money where it will be more beneficial right now. The Recreation Department has had to cancel their programs through June, and tentatively planned for a few programs starting in July. These programs will still require social distancing but will be a great opportunity to get our programs started again. The Request for Proposal bids have come back for the fiber project and they will be published on the Connect Kaysville website tomorrow.

Council Member Blackham said that he recently sat down with the Community Development and development in Kaysville hasn't seemed to have slowed down.

Mayor Witt commented that the most recent update we have received from the Davis County Health Department showed that our rate of infection of the coronavirus continues to decline in our area. Kaysville has only had eighteen cases of the coronavirus. We are doing well and want to keep that going. We are hoping to do a soft opening of the city building by June, but also want to encourage the public to maintain social distancing.

CITY MANAGER REPORT

Shayne Scott commented that currently Staff is planning to hold another electronic City Council meeting in May, but hope to transition back into meeting in-person in June depending on how things are progressing.

Council Member Barber said that she would like to be able to do Q & A sessions before Council again as soon as we can.

Council Member Lortz commented that when we move into the "yellow" phase we will be limited to fifty people gathering, which may cause difficulties for Council meetings. The city hall

renovation isn't anticipated to be completed until August.

Shayne Scott commented that they are hoping that things will get back to normal by July.

Mayor Witt added that we might have to get creative, but there are other options in holding meetings with the public present.

ADJOURNMENT

Council Member Lortz made a motion to adjourn the City Council meeting at 9:42 p.m., seconded by Council Member Adams and passed unanimously.